

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/708,494	Applicant(s) NSAME, PASCAL A.	
	Examiner Kim T. Huynh	Art Unit 2112	

All Participants:(1) Kim T. Huynh, USPTO.(2) Frederick Gibb, 37,629.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 April 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

10 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Discussed amendment of claims 10 and 20 to define over the prior art and place the application in condition for allowance.***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Appellant's Brief filed on 1/13/05 have been fully considered and are persuasive.
2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicant's claimed invention is deemed allowable over the prior art of record as the prior art fails to teach or suggest a system on a chip integrated circuit structure comprising at least one logic device connected to said processor local bus in combination with other limitations as recited in independent claims and further in view of the specification and appellant's persuasive arguments.

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Kim Huynh

April 5, 2005



TIM VO
PRIMARY EXAMINER